IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2902 OF 2005

Rajiv Ranjan @ Guddisingh
Ramshree Thakur ... Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

...

Mr.Shiv Motariya, for the Applicant.

Mr.V.B. Konde Deshmukh, A.P.P., for the Respondent.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

3rd May 2005

P.C.:

. Heard Counsel for the parties. Perused the record. The argument of the Applicant that the incident took place on the spur of the moment and out of sudden and grave provocation does not commend to me as that position cannot be supported by the nature of injury suffered by the

victim, as can be discerned from post mortem report at page 30. In view of the evidence on record to indicate complicity of the Applicant, not a case for bail having regard to the seriousness of the offence. Hence, the application is rejected.

. As prayer for bail is rejected, the Sessions Judge, Pune, is directed to assign the case to the Fast Track Court, if not already done, in order to ensure early disposal of the trial. If the Fast Track Court is not available for any reason, the trial Court is directed to expedite the hearing of the trial and dispose of the same as High Court Expedited Case preferably within six months from the date of receipt of receipt of this Court.

. The Applicant shall co-operate with the trial Court for the purpose of early disposal of the trial. If the trial Court is of the opinion that the Applicant is in any manner responsible for the delay in disposal of the trial, that fact be recorded in the roznama, which may be considered as and when occasion arises.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.)